RECRUITERNATE / FREE PLAYBOOKS

The Recruiting Pipeline You Can Actually Run

A realistic operating rhythm for a full CRM and a limited call day

Recruiters and growth managers

RecruiterNate.com

Contents

03 Seventy-five tasks and thirty calls

05 Define a stage you can explain

07 Use the call time where a call can help

09 Write a note your future self can use

11 Keep written follow-up short and personal

13 Measure conversion with a fair denominator

15 Review the conversations that stopped moving

17 Build a week that survives interruptions

19 Your daily triage and weekly review

21 Printable action sheet

The people, companies and figures in the case are fictional. Use the examples to examine your own decisions.

CHAPTER 01

Seventy-five tasks and thirty calls

Devon opened the CRM and found seventy-five tasks due. By lunch the recruiter had made twelve calls, moved two meetings, and answered four people who had replied to earlier messages. A manager needed an update on a candidate interview. The task list still looked almost the same.

Devon could handle about thirty calls on a day like this. Working in the order the tasks appeared meant the first dozen were mostly reminders from old campaigns. Meanwhile, someone who had agreed to talk at noon had received a generic follow-up. The list was recording activity without helping Devon decide how to spend the day.

Take inventory at noon. Devon wrote down the three commitments that were still open. A candidate expected an answer about a schedule, a manager owed a decision, and a producer was waiting for a call Devon had agreed to make. The day could go badly even if thirty calls showed on the dashboard. Devon put those three people first, then made room for the questions that had to be answered before the next day’s interviews.

Seventy-five tasks and thirty calls

This fictional workday is based on a familiar recruiting constraint. You have time for a fraction of the tasks due and you still need to return messages, record decisions, and prepare for calls.

Before sorting the list, identify the people you already owe something. Then identify the conversations that have a time-sensitive reason to happen today. The first list is about keeping your word. The second is about using your available time well. Let those two lists shape the morning before you look at general reminders.

Compare activity and service. Imagine Devon made twenty calls and fulfilled every commitment, while a colleague made thirty but left three agreed callbacks unanswered. Ask what the team would learn from each record. Build a daily scorecard with room for calls, useful conversations, and promises kept. Give commitments a time, not just a date, when the other person expects an answer. That changes which items should come before a general outreach reminder.

CHAPTER 02

Define a stage you can explain

Devon’s CRM had a stage called “warm.” It included a producer who had booked a call, a producer who had replied “maybe next quarter,” and someone who had never responded but had been contacted often. The next steps for those people were different.

Devon wrote stages around observable events. Researched meant there was a relevant reason to contact the person. Contacted meant a message or call had actually happened. Engaged meant the person had responded in a way that invited a useful exchange. Discovery meant both sides had talked about a specific decision. An agreed next step had a question, an owner and a date.

Fix a stage by looking at records. Devon sampled ten prospects labeled warm. Three had replies, two had appointments, and five had only received outreach. The manager asked which would be included in a discovery forecast. They agreed to count only people with a documented conversation and a question both parties were prepared to discuss. The three groups received different next actions. Cleaning up the stage made the forecast more credible and made the day’s task list shorter.

Define a stage you can explain

Test a stage by asking what happened to earn it. If two recruiters could look at the same notes and choose different stages, improve the definition. A pending invite can have its own status. Someone who asked for a later check-in can have a future date. A person who asked to stop belongs in the appropriate closed state.

The stages should describe enough of the work to guide your next action. Devon could now open a record and see whether the day's job was to honor a commitment, learn something, or wait until the date the person had requested.

Define entry and exit conditions. Write one event that earns entry and one event that completes the stage. For discovery, entry might be an agreed conversation about a specific issue. Exit might be a documented decision to take another step, defer, or close. Apply the definition to five old records. If you keep reaching for exceptions, refine the wording. The goal is a definition a teammate can apply without guessing what you meant by "good call."

Try a disputed record. A producer replied "send me the information," and you sent it. They never agreed to a discovery conversation. Decide what stage describes that evidence and what future event would justify advancing it.

CHAPTER 03

Use the call time where a call can help

Devon divided the day’s seventy-five tasks into four groups. Thirty had a clear reason for a call: scheduled conversations, active decisions with unresolved questions, and a small set of prospects where a specific recent event made a live conversation useful. Eighteen could be handled in writing because the message answered a question or fulfilled a promise.

Twelve could be rescheduled to a date that fit the relationship. Fifteen needed cleanup because they duplicated another task, lacked a credible reason, or were already closed. Thirty plus eighteen plus twelve plus fifteen accounted for the full list. The task count no longer dictated the channel.

Give each planned call a job. Devon used twelve slots for agreed calls, eight for active questions that needed conversation, and five for researched outreach. Five remained available for callbacks. If a callback came in at noon, the schedule could absorb it. If none arrived, Devon had five already-researched conversations ready. A fixed number of slots helped protect the work after the call, including returning answers and recording the next action while it was fresh.

Use the call time where a call can help

Try the same sort before your first call block. Mark commitments due today first. For each additional proposed call, write the question a call could resolve. If you can answer it with a useful sentence, a message may serve the person better.

Reserve a few slots for replies that come in during the day. Devon used twenty-five slots for planned calls and five for callbacks or commitments that arose later. When the reserve went unused, the next five already had a reason. That kept the daily plan flexible without turning every new notification into a priority.

Sort a four-record sample. One person asked for the operations contact by Friday. One requested a call today. A third said to wait until next quarter. A fourth has two duplicate reminders and never responded. Put them in write, call, reschedule, and clean-up order, respectively. Now ask where the operations answer must come from and when you can deliver it. Triage is more useful when it identifies a missing dependency before the day ends.

CHAPTER 04

Write a note your future self can use

After a good call, Devon used to type “interested” and set a reminder. A week later the label meant almost nothing. Did the person want information about compensation? Had they asked for a specific introduction? Had Devon invented the follow-up date?

A useful note took two minutes. “Current team solid. Wants to see who handles purchase escalation if coverage is out. Asked for a short example by Thursday. Devon to send, then wait for reply.” It gave Devon enough context to write a thoughtful message without rereading the entire conversation.

Make the handoff readable. Another recruiter covered a call while Devon was out. The record said “interested in support,” with no detail. The covering recruiter asked the same questions Devon had already asked. Next time Devon added, “Wants the primary and backup names for urgent purchase scenarios, and asked us to wait until she’s back Tuesday.” A short factual note made it possible to keep the relationship moving without making the person repeat their story.

Write a note your future self can use

Use three fields: the person's words or preference, the question that remains, and the agreed next action. Put facts and assumptions in separate sentences. "Said next quarter" is different from "I think they may revisit in January."

Review five of your notes. Could someone covering for you know what to do and what would be unwelcome? If the answer is no, improve the note while the details are fresh. Keep information relevant to the work and in the approved system.

Read a note out loud. Replace "strong candidate" with the evidence that matters for the next decision. Replace "no response" with the date and channel if that's relevant. Add the person's preference and whether a next step was actually agreed. If the note has nothing to do with the next action, consider whether it belongs in the record. Practice on three old notes and give them to a teammate to interpret without additional explanation.

Check the handoff with a teammate. Give them one note and ask what they would do tomorrow. If the answer surprises you, add the missing context and test the note again.

CHAPTER 05

Keep written follow-up short and personal

At four thirty, Devon had eighteen written tasks. A mass “checking in” note would have cleared the list. Devon grouped the work by purpose instead: share something promised, ask for a decision on an agreed next step, or check whether a planned date still worked.

A note to a branch leader said, “You asked who would cover your team’s first two purchase files. I confirmed the primary contact and backup. Is there anything else you need before we decide whether a short operations call would help?” The recipient could understand the message without being sold to again.

Write the question first. Devon had one prospect asking about support and another asking about timing. The first note named the two contacts and offered a short walk-through. The second asked whether a date still worked. Reusing the same “touching base” language would have hidden the real decision. Devon created two message structures and personalized the details. That made it easier to work through a full list while keeping the purpose of each note visible.

Keep written follow-up short and personal

Keep a small set of structures you can personalize. Include the detail that came from the last exchange, one useful piece of information and a simple ask if there is one. If you use a tool to draft messages, review the facts, the tone, and the recipient’s preferences before sending.

Devon stopped sending a follow-up on days when the only reason was that a template said it was due. The replacement rule was to ask what the person would gain from receiving the message. Sometimes the right action was a more specific note. Sometimes it was a later date.

Use the end-of-day edit. Read the first sentence as the recipient. Does it say why the message is relevant now? Read the last sentence. Does the ask reflect what you agreed to discuss? If you owe an answer, send the answer before asking for time. If there’s nothing useful to add, check whether the reminder should be moved or closed. Measure the window by obligations completed and useful replies instead of drafts cleared.

CHAPTER 06

Measure conversion with a fair denominator

Devon’s manager wanted to know whether outreach was improving. In one four-week cohort, forty distinct prospects received an initial contact. Twelve had a meaningful two-way conversation, six agreed to discovery, and three completed it by the review date. The observed contact-to-conversation rate was 30%. The conversation-to-discovery agreement rate was 50%. The three completions were still an incomplete view because some meetings were scheduled for the following week.

Counting every touch as a new contact would have understated the response rate. Comparing a brand-new cohort with an older one would have confused follow-up time with performance.

Compare matured cohorts. Say one group of forty has twelve conversations after four weeks and another group of twenty has four after one week. The observed rates are 30% and 20%. The second group has had less time, so Devon waits for a comparable window before interpreting the difference. In the meantime, the manager can inspect the four responses for signs that the outreach is relevant. Both numbers can be useful when their limits are visible.

Measure conversion with a fair denominator

Write down the time window, what counts as a person, and what qualifies for the outcome. Keep attempts, people, and completed steps in separate columns. Compare cohorts with similar time to progress.

If your ratio drops, read five cases before changing your approach. Were the prospects different? Did a handoff fail? Were meetings still pending? A number can tell you where to look. The notes tell you what you might do differently on the next set of calls.

Do a denominator check. A recruiter contacts ten people three times and has four conversations. Four out of ten people is 40% conversation conversion for the group. Four out of thirty attempts answers a different question about touches. Put both counts on a review sheet and label them. Then compare two periods with the same definition and follow-up time. If you see a change, select a few records and look for the behavior that might explain it.

Record the observation date. If you review outcomes before some scheduled calls occur, leave those calls pending. Add a later date for the comparison. That keeps the manager from coaching a performance problem the data cannot yet establish.

CHAPTER 07

Review the conversations that stopped moving

On Friday Devon had nine records marked discovery with no next step. Some belonged in a later follow-up category. Two needed a reply from an internal partner. One prospect had already said they planned to stay.

Devon started with the two where the recruiting team owed an answer. On one, the blocker was a support question that had been passed between people twice. Devon wrote the actual question, named the person who could answer, and gave the candidate an update. The record progressed because the team finished something it had started.

Remove the friction you own. One stalled prospect needed confirmation about who would attend the next meeting. Devon had forwarded the entire email thread internally. Nobody understood the decision needed. The second request said, “Can you confirm who covers the deadline scenario on Wednesday? I’ll use that name to schedule the meeting.” The answer arrived the same day. A pipeline review can expose how much delay comes from unclear questions inside your own team.

Review the conversations that stopped moving

Set aside twenty minutes each week to inspect stalled records. For each one, decide whether the next action belongs to you, someone else, or nobody because the person has chosen to pause. Put the agreed date on the calendar. If an internal partner owes the answer, give them the real question instead of forwarding a long thread without context.

Look for repeat blockers. If five conversations stall on the same unanswered question, solve that question once and document the answer. Your pipeline review can improve the process for the next five people.

Practice an aging review. For five old items, record last meaningful activity, the next owner, and the agreed date. Separate “waiting for the prospect” from “we owe something.” Choose one action you can complete this week and one pattern worth fixing. If the same answer gets requested from three departments, identify the proper owner and document the process. The time you recover should go back to conversations where you can help someone make a decision.

CHAPTER 08

Build a week that survives interruptions

Devon protected a morning call block, one smaller callback block, and a short written follow-up window. When the manager needed something urgent, Devon could move the second block without losing the first. Most days ended with a ten-minute cleanup of notes and promises.

The weekly review asked three things. Which commitments did we keep? Where did candidates get stuck? What will we change next week? Devon brought a single case to illustrate each answer. The manager could coach a behavior because the review went beyond activity totals.

Protect the service work. Devon estimated that a thoughtful callback and note could take ten minutes. Five unexpected callbacks could use almost an hour. Rather than scheduling a solid block of new calls, Devon protected the reserve and reviewed what could move when the day changed. The manager could then see why a day with fewer new calls might still involve more work for people already in the pipeline. They could plan for that capacity next week.

Build a week that survives interruptions

Choose a daily call ceiling you can actually sustain. Give scheduled calls and agreed callbacks a place. Choose a window for writing and one for recording outcomes. Keep a little time for questions from people already in motion.

Try the schedule for a week. At the end, compare how many meaningful conversations happened, how many commitments were fulfilled, and how many tasks carried over without a reason. Adjust the order before expanding the workload. A workable schedule should leave room to do the work that follows a good call.

Run a Friday comparison. Pick one week when you controlled the call blocks and one when interruptions took over. Compare meaningful conversations, commitments kept, and unresolved items. Read two examples from each week. Ask which interruption deserved immediate attention and which could have waited for the callback block. Choose one scheduling change for the next week and write down how you'll tell whether it helped. A small experiment is easier to review than a wholesale process change.

CHAPTER 09

Your daily triage and weekly review

Start tomorrow’s list with four columns: call, write, reschedule, and close or clean up. Put each task in one column. Name the reason before assigning a call slot. Mark any commitment that belongs to someone else and decide how you’ll confirm it.

After every meaningful conversation, capture the person’s priority, the open question, and the next step. If the person asked you to wait, schedule that date and remove redundant reminders. Leave enough context for yourself to pick up the relationship without making them start over.

Work a complete case. A recruiter has five agreed callbacks, two unanswered manager requests and four outreach reminders left before the end of the day. Place the callbacks on the calendar, give the manager requests a specific question and owner, then pick the reminders that have an actual reason to happen now. Record anything that moves and why. A clean decision to reschedule gives you a useful plan for tomorrow and a truthful explanation of what happened today.

Your daily triage and weekly review

On Friday, choose one conversion to inspect and one stalled conversation to learn from. Count people with the same time to progress. Decide what change you'll test, who owns it, and when you'll look again.

Devon's list still had plenty of tasks. The improvement was being able to explain why each important conversation was happening and what the team owed afterward. That is the work a recruiting pipeline should make easier.

Set one team rule. Devon chose "every active decision has a next owner and a date." Test it on the first five records in your next weekly review. If one record lacks an owner, decide whether the recruiter, prospect, or another teammate owns it. If no action has been agreed, decide which stage belongs on the record. The rule should improve the next conversation even when it makes the reported active pipeline smaller.

Give the team a five-minute drill. Have two people sort the same five fictional tasks. Compare the first three they would handle and why. Agree on which commitments deserve priority and which reminders can move.

PUT IT TO WORK

Your action sheet

Commitments due today

Call slots and the question each call can resolve

Written follow-up and rescheduled items

Duplicate, closed or unclear tasks

Friday conversion check and one change to test

READ ONLINE AT RECRUITERNATE.COM

RecruiterNate.com/recruiting-pipeline